

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,271	08/22/2006	Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-7804	6014	
31780 Robinson Intel	7590 02/22/201 lectual Property Law O	EXAM	EXAMINER		
3975 Fair Ridge Drive			JAHAN, BILKIS		
Suite 20 North Fairfax, VA 22		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
· · · · ·		2814			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/22/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

-				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/590,271	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BILKIS JAHAN	2814		

	BILKIS JAHAN	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS.	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(20(-)	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data- have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (d) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) They are not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The not deemed to place the application in better (e) The no	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (i	PTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripilant / tiricilaniont (i	TOE OE+/.
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. \(\subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) } \(\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
			
	/Wai-Sing Louie/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2814	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argued that the amendment which is "to generate a power supply voltage from an alternating voltage..." does not leach Yonezawa in view of Gutha thowever, the limitation "to generate a power supply voltage from an alternating voltage..." is a functional language and Yonezawa in view of Guha discloses all structural limitations which is function as a claimed feature.

Applicant's also argued that the antenna and the power supply line do not have any connection between them. However, it is common in the art that the antenna has to be connected with the poiwer supply line in order to function the antenna. Therefore, Yonezawa in view of Gulha discloses the claimed invention and claimed structure.